

**RE: Rule 1-100  
8/27-28/04 Commission Meeting  
Open Session Item III.F.**

-----Original Message-----

From: CommissionerJ2@aol.com [mailto:CommissionerJ2@aol.com]

Sent: Friday, July 30, 2004 2:14 PM

To: hbsondheim@earthlink.net; kabetzner@yahoo.com; lfoy@hrice.com; epgeorge@ix.netcom.com; slamport@ccnlaw.com; martinerz@ldbb.com; kmelchior@nossman.com; pecklaw@prodigy.net; justice.ruvolo@jud.ca.gov; jsapiro@sapirolaw.com; mtuft@cwclaw.com; pwvapnek@townsend.com; avoogd@technip.com; Difuntorum, Randall

Cc: kemohr@comcast.net

Subject: 1-100 at last!/agenda ideam IIIF

Dear Fellow Commissioners,

Alas, I have waited so long to get to this point. As you know I have believed from the start that we should *start* at the *start*...and we are here. In the end I think that we should include a glossary/definition section for most, if not all, of our terms which will need defining. Whether they comes at the back or the beginning of the rules, I will leave for later discussion, but given the fact that our rules currently occupy only 17 pages, I don't think that having a separate glossary/definition section will add many more pages. Therefore, I believe that section "B" of 1-100 also needs to be included in said glossary/definition section. Having said that, I herewith offer the following changes in the current section wherever it ends up appearing.

(B) Definisions

(1)"Law Firm" means:

- a. As is
- b. As is
- c. As is
- d. As is

(2) "Member" means a member of the State Bar of California as well as any lawyer acting under the jurisdiction of the State Bar of California.

(3) As is

(4) Delete - is subsumed under B2

(5)QUESTION : Can you be a shareholder in a law firm without being a member of the Bar ? If "no" is the answer, then delete 5.

JoElla

-----Original Message-----

From: CommissionerJ2@aol.com [mailto:CommissionerJ2@aol.com]

Sent: Friday, July 30, 2004 2:19 PM

To: CommissionerJ2@aol.com; hbsondheim@earthlink.net; kabetzner@yahoo.com; lfoy@hrice.com; epgeorge@ix.netcom.com; slamport@ccnlaw.com; martinerez@ldbb.com; kmelchior@nossman.com; pecklaw@prodigy.net; justice.ruvolo@jud.ca.gov; jsapiro@sapirolaw.com; mtuft@cwclaw.com; pwvapnek@townsend.com; avoogd@technip.com; Difuntorum, Randall

Cc: kemohr@comcast.net

Subject: Re: 1-100 at last!/agenda ideam IIIF

Oops! I was probably thinking I was dreaming that we finally reached 1-100..but I can spell better than that. The word should have been "item" in the subject--not "**ideam**." Have a good day anyway.

JoElla